

Deciphering the Nagorno-Karabakh Conflict: A Technical Analysis of Thomas de Waal's 'Black Garden' and the Geopolitics of the South Caucasus

Published: October 7, 2026 | Index ID: #920

The South Caucasus remains one of the most complex geopolitical landscapes in the post-Soviet space, defined largely by the protracted struggle between Armenia and Azerbaijan over the territory of Nagorno-Karabakh. At the center of the intellectual discourse surrounding this conflict is **Thomas de Waal's seminal work, "Black Garden: Armenia and Azerbaijan through Peace and War."** First published in 2003 and later updated for its tenth anniversary in 2013, the book serves as the definitive academic and journalistic study of the ethnic, political, and military dimensions of the dispute. To understand the current state of regional affairs, one must look through the lens of De Waal's historical reconstruction, which details how two formerly integrated Soviet republics descended into a cycle of violence that persists into the 21st century.

The Theoretical Framework of 'Ethnographic Conflict'

De Waal's analysis is built upon a framework of **historical memory and ethnographic divergence**. He argues that the conflict was not merely a product of spontaneous ethnic hatred but was meticulously constructed through the manipulation of history by nationalist elites during the terminal phase of the Soviet Union. The term "Black Garden"—a literal translation of the Turkic and Persian words 'Kara' (Black) and 'Bakh' (Garden)—serves as a powerful metaphor for the duality of the region: a place of immense beauty and cultural richness that has become a site of rot and sorrow due to decades of warfare.

The Logic of 'Miatsum' and Territorial Integrity

Two diametrically opposed principles of international law form the core of the legal and technical impasse between the two nations. Armenia's claim is rooted in the **principle of self-determination**, encapsulated in the Armenian term *Miatsum* (Unification). Conversely, Azerbaijan's stance is anchored in the **principle of territorial integrity**, emphasizing the inviolability of borders established during the Soviet era. De Waal illustrates how these two principles, which are both recognized under the UN Charter, created a zero-sum game where compromise became viewed as national betrayal.

Technical Timeline: From Petitions to Full-Scale Warfare

The transition from political protest to kinetic military operations followed a specific sequence of escalation that De Waal documents with surgical precision. This progression is essential for understanding the structural failures of the late-Soviet security apparatus.

- 1987–1988: The Petition Phase. Armenian activists in the Nagorno-Karabakh Autonomous Oblast (NKAO) began collecting signatures for a transfer of the region from the Azerbaijan SSR to the Armenian SSR. This was facilitated by Gorbachev's policies of Glasnost and Perestroika.
- February 1988: The Sumgait Pogrom. In response to the growing Armenian movement, anti-Armenian violence erupted in the industrial city of Sumgait. De Waal identifies this as the "point of no return," where physical security became the primary driver for both populations.
- 1990–1991: Operation Ring. A joint operation by Soviet internal troops and Azerbaijani OMON units to deport

Armenian villagers from the borderlands. This militarized the conflict, pushing the Armenian side to form organized defense militias.

- 1992–1994: Full-Scale War. Following the collapse of the USSR, the conflict evolved into an inter-state war involving heavy artillery, aviation, and significant casualties on both sides, culminating in the 1994 Bishkek Protocol.

Comparative Analysis of the First Nagorno-Karabakh War

The following table outlines the technical and strategic differences between the two primary combatants during the period analyzed in De Waal's primary study (1988–1994).

Feature	Armenian Forces (Nagorno-Karabakh/Republic)	Azerbaijani Forces (Republic)
Strategic Objective	Secession and Unification (Miatsum)	Restoration of Territorial Integrity
Military Structure	Decentralized fedayeen units evolving into a unified command.	Largely disorganized internal ministry troops and political militias.
Tactical Advantage	High ground (Karabakh mountains), high motivation, and experienced officers from the Soviet-Afghan war.	Superior numbers, larger Soviet-inherited hardware stockpiles (initially), and control of air assets.
Outcome (1994)	Control over NKAO and seven surrounding Azerbaijani districts.	Loss of approximately 14% of internationally recognized territory and massive IDP crisis.
Political Impact	Consolidation of the "Karabakh Clan" in Armenian politics.	Political instability leading to the rise of Heydar Aliyev.

The Role of Oral History in De Waal's Methodology

One of the most significant contributions of *Black Garden* is its reliance on over 120 interviews with participants from both sides. De Waal does not merely report on military movements; he reconstructs the **psychological landscape** of the combatants. He explores the tragedy of Khojaly and the Armenian capture of Shusha (Shushi) not just as tactical events, but as cultural traumas that solidified the modern national identities of both peoples.

The Case of Shusha: Cultural Symbolism as Military Target

De Waal provides an in-depth case study of the town of Shusha. Historically a center of Azerbaijani culture and music, it also holds deep Armenian historical significance. The Armenian capture of the town in May 1992 was a masterclass in mountain warfare but a devastating blow to Azerbaijani national pride. De Waal highlights how the physical displacement of people from Shusha served as a microcosm for the entire conflict: the complete "unmixing" of populations that had once lived side-by-side.

Core Mechanics of the 'No Peace, No War' Stalemate

Post-1994, the region entered a period of frozen conflict. De Waal's 10th-anniversary edition analyzes why the peace process, led by the **OSCE Minsk Group** (co-chaired by the US, France, and Russia), failed to achieve a lasting settlement. Several technical factors contributed to this paralysis:

1. The Domestic Political Cost: In both Yerevan and Baku, any leader who suggested a compromise was viewed as a traitor. This was evidenced by the 1998 resignation of Levon Ter-Petrosyan in Armenia after he suggested a phased withdrawal from occupied territories.
2. The Rentier State Dynamics: Azerbaijan's oil wealth allowed for a massive military buildup (the "Petro-state" effect), while Armenia's strategic reliance on Russia created a security dependence that limited its diplomatic flexibility.
3. The 'Status-Quo' Fallacy: For 25 years, the Armenian side believed that the longer they held the territories, the more legitimate their claim would become. De Waal warned that this was a dangerous miscalculation, as Azerbaijan's military modernization would eventually tip the scales.

Geopolitical Stakeholders and Regional Dynamics

The Nagorno-Karabakh conflict is not an isolated ethnic dispute; it is a nexus of regional power plays. De Waal's technical breakdown of external influences reveals a complex web of interests:

The Russian Federation: The Security Arbiter

Russia has historically played both sides, serving as Armenia's primary security guarantor through the CSTO while simultaneously selling billions of dollars in advanced weaponry to Azerbaijan. This "**managed instability**" allowed Moscow to maintain its sphere of influence over both former republics.

The Republic of Turkey: The Ethnic Ally

Turkey's involvement is rooted in the "One Nation, Two States" philosophy. By closing its border with Armenia in 1993, Turkey imposed a significant economic cost on the Armenian state, reinforcing the blockade that shaped the region's economic development for three decades.

The Islamic Republic of Iran: The Pragmatic Neighbor

Despite being a Shiite-majority nation like Azerbaijan, Iran has often maintained a pragmatic, if not slightly pro-Armenian, stance to balance Azerbaijani nationalism, which could potentially incite separatist sentiments among Iran's own large Azeri population.

Case Study: Troubleshooting the Peace Process (The Madrid Principles)

The **Madrid Principles**, proposed in 2007, were intended to be the technical blueprint for peace. Let us analyze the failure modes of this proposal:

- Proposed Solution: Return of the seven occupied districts surrounding Nagorno-Karabakh to Azerbaijani control.
- Failure Mode: Armenia refused to relinquish these "buffer zones" without a guaranteed, legally binding referendum on the final status of Nagorno-Karabakh.
- Proposed Solution: An interim status for Nagorno-Karabakh providing guarantees for security and self-governance.
- Failure Mode: Azerbaijan feared that any interim status would be a stepping stone to permanent independence, effectively rewarding the use of force in the 1990s.
- Proposed Solution: A corridor linking Armenia to Nagorno-Karabakh (The Lachin Corridor).
- Failure Mode: Disagreement over the width and security control of the corridor led to a breakdown in technical negotiations.

Mathematical and Demographic Shifts

To understand the depth of the displacement, one must look at the demographic data analyzed by De Waal. Before the conflict, Nagorno-Karabakh had a significant Azerbaijani minority (approx. 21-25% in 1989). Conversely, hundreds of thousands of Armenians lived in Baku and Ganja. By the mid-1990s, these populations had been almost entirely swapped. The **Coefficient of Ethnic Homogeneity** in both regions spiked to near 100%, creating an environment where the "other" was no longer a neighbor, but a faceless enemy across a trench line.

Broader Implications for International Conflict Resolution

The lessons from *Black Garden* extend far beyond the Caucasus. The work highlights a critical flaw in modern diplomacy: the assumption that economic integration and time will naturally heal ethnic grievances. In reality, as De Waal demonstrates, time often allows nationalist myths to calcify, making peace harder, not easier, to achieve. The transition from the 1994 ceasefire to the 2020 44-Day War—and the subsequent 2023 Azerbaijani military

operation that led to the complete dissolution of the Republic of Artsakh—validates De Waal's long-standing warnings about the fragility of the status quo.

The current situation, characterized by the total exodus of the Armenian population from Nagorno-Karabakh in late 2023, represents a grim conclusion to the chapter De Waal opened in 1988. It serves as a stark reminder of the consequences of failed diplomacy and the brutal reality of realpolitik in a multipolar world. For policy makers, the technical documentation provided in "Black Garden" remains essential for understanding how to prevent similar escalations in other contested territories where history and identity are inextricably linked to the soil.

Ultimately, the study of Armenia and Azerbaijan through peace and war reveals that conflict is a living organism. It evolves with technology—from the T-72 tanks of the 90s to the Bayraktar TB2 drones of 2020—but its core remains rooted in the human stories and tragedies that De Waal so meticulously captured. The "Black Garden" may have been cleared of its previous inhabitants, but the shadows of its history will continue to loom over the South Caucasus for generations to come, requiring a level of scholarly and diplomatic engagement that De Waal's work continues to provide.

Baca Juga (Artikel Terkait)

- [The Geopolitics of the New World Order: A Technical Analysis of Global Governance and Information Dynamics](http://alaskawriters.com/geopolitics-new-world-order-technical-analysis.pdf)

URL: <http://alaskawriters.com/geopolitics-new-world-order-technical-analysis.pdf>

- [Africa's World War: A Technical and Geopolitical Analysis of the Congo Conflict and Rwandan Genocide](http://alaskawriters.com/africas-world-war-congo-rwandan-genocide-analysis.pdf)

URL: <http://alaskawriters.com/africas-world-war-congo-rwandan-genocide-analysis.pdf>

- [Authority, Ascendancy, and Supremacy: A Comprehensive Analysis of the China-Russia-USA Power Triad](http://alaskawriters.com/authority-ascendancy-supremacy-china-russia-usa-geopolitics.pdf)

URL: <http://alaskawriters.com/authority-ascendancy-supremacy-china-russia-usa-geopolitics.pdf>

- [Comprehensive Analysis of the U.S.-Mexican Divide: Geopolitics, Infrastructure, and Human Dynamics](http://alaskawriters.com/us-mexico-border-geopolitical-infrastructure-analysis.pdf)

URL: <http://alaskawriters.com/us-mexico-border-geopolitical-infrastructure-analysis.pdf>

Tags: #Nagorno Karabakh #Thomas de Waal #Armenia Azerbaijan Conflict #South Caucasus History #Post Soviet Geopolitics

