

Comprehensive Assessment of Metacognitive Awareness in Reading: A Technical Guide to the MARSI Framework

Published: August 28, 2026 | Index ID: #473

In the field of educational psychology and literacy development, the transition from passive decoding to active comprehension is governed by a set of higher-order cognitive processes known as **metacognition**. Metacognition, often defined as "thinking about thinking," involves the conscious awareness and control of one's own cognitive processes. Within the specific domain of academic reading, this awareness manifests as the ability to monitor, regulate, and evaluate strategies used to extract meaning from complex texts. The most prominent instrument used to quantify this phenomenon is the **Metacognitive Awareness of Reading Strategies Inventory (MARSI)**, developed by Kouider Mokhtari and Carla Reichard in 2002.

The Theoretical Framework of Metacognitive Reading

Metacognitive awareness in reading is not a monolithic trait but a multi-dimensional construct. According to the research established by Mokhtari and Reichard (2002), proficient readers do not simply read faster; they read more strategically. This involves a continuous loop of goal setting, strategy selection, monitoring for comprehension breakdowns, and remedial action. The theoretical foundation of assessing these strategies rests on three primary pillars:

- **Declarative Knowledge:** Knowledge about oneself as a learner and the factors that influence performance (e.g., knowing which strategies are available).
- **Procedural Knowledge:** Knowledge about how to execute specific strategies (e.g., knowing how to summarize or how to use context clues).
- **Conditional Knowledge:** Knowledge about why and when to use a particular strategy (e.g., knowing when to slow down for a difficult technical passage versus skimming a news article).

The importance of assessing these levels of awareness lies in their high correlation with academic success. Research conducted across various demographics—ranging from native English speakers to English as a Foreign Language (EFL) university students—consistently demonstrates that learners who exhibit higher metacognitive awareness perform significantly better on standardized reading comprehension tests than those with lower awareness.

The MARSI Instrument: Technical Architecture

The **Metacognitive Awareness of Reading Strategies Inventory (MARSI)** was specifically engineered to provide a validated, reliable self-report tool for students to assess their own strategy usage while reading academic materials. The original 2002 version consists of 30 items, each rated on a 5-point Likert scale (ranging from 1 = "I never or almost never do this" to 5 = "I always or almost always do this").

Factor Structure and Strategy Classification

The MARSI is structured around three distinct subscales, or factors, which represent different categories of reading strategies. Understanding these categories is essential for technical analysis and educational intervention.

Strategy Category	Number of Items	Technical Focus	Operational Objective
Global Reading Strategies (GLOB)	13	Broad, intentional planning and monitoring.	To set the stage for reading and maintain an overview of text structure.
Problem-Solving Strategies (PROB)	8	Localized, repair-focused actions.	To navigate difficult or ambiguous segments of text.
Support Reading Strategies (SUP)	9	External tools and physical aids.	To sustain concentration and facilitate memory through external mechanisms.

1. Global Reading Strategies (GLOB)

Global strategies are generalized, intentional reading strategies aimed at setting the stage for the reading act. These strategies are executive in nature. Examples include setting a purpose for reading, previewing the text for its organization, and checking how the content of the text fits the reader's expectations. In a technical sense, GLOB strategies represent the **top-down processing** component of reading comprehension.

2. Problem-Solving Strategies (PROB)

Problem-solving strategies are the actions and procedures that readers use while working directly with the text, particularly when the text becomes difficult. These are localized, focused techniques such as adjusting reading speed, visualizing information, and re-reading difficult sections to clarify meaning. These represent the **repair mechanisms** in the cognitive workflow.

3. Support Reading Strategies (SUP)

Support strategies involve the use of outside-the-text functional tools to aid comprehension. This includes taking notes, underlining or circling information, using reference materials (like dictionaries), and paraphrasing for better understanding. These strategies provide the **scaffolding** necessary for long-term retention and complex analysis.

Psychometric Validation and Reliability

The technical robustness of the MARS-I is established through rigorous statistical validation. Mokhtari and Reichard (2002) utilized factor analysis to confirm the three-factor structure. The internal consistency of the instrument, often measured by **Cronbach's Alpha**, typically ranges from 0.89 to 0.93 for the overall inventory, indicating high reliability.

For researchers and practitioners, the following statistical benchmarks are commonly used to evaluate the validity of a MARS-I-based study:

- **Content Validity:** Ensured through expert review and alignment with established metacognitive theories.
- **Construct Validity:** Confirmed via exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA).
- **Reliability:** Alpha coefficients above 0.70 for subscales and above 0.85 for the total instrument are considered standard for academic research.

Comparative Analysis of Strategy Usage in Diverse Populations

Data from various studies (Mahasneh 2016, Zahra 2016, Rosnaeni 2020) show varying levels of metacognitive

awareness based on linguistic background and educational level. Below is a comparison of typical strategy utilization patterns observed in technical studies.

Study Participant Group	Primary Strategy Used	Secondary Strategy Used	Comprehension Impact
EFL Undergraduates (Jordan)	Problem-Solving (PROB)	Global (GLOB)	Moderate to High Correlation
High School Students (Philippines)	Support (SUP)	Problem-Solving (PROB)	Variable based on Genre
Pre-service Teachers (Indonesia)	Global (GLOB)	Support (SUP)	Significant predictor of success
Advanced Graduate Researchers	Global (GLOB)	Problem-Solving (PROB)	High specialized efficiency

Technical analysis reveals that **Problem-Solving Strategies (PROB)** consistently score the highest across almost all demographics. This suggests that while students are aware of "fix-up" strategies when they encounter trouble, they may be less proficient in the "pre-emptive" **Global Strategies (GLOB)** that prevent comprehension breakdown in the first place.

Step-by-Step Implementation Guide for Educators

To effectively assess and improve students' metacognitive awareness, educators should follow a structured procedural workflow. This guide outlines the deployment of the MARSİ framework in an academic setting.

Phase I: Administration

1. Contextualization: Inform students that the inventory is not a test with "right" or "wrong" answers, but a tool for self-discovery regarding their reading habits.
2. Deployment: Provide the 30-item MARSİ questionnaire. Ensure students are reading a complex academic text shortly before or during the assessment to keep their habits fresh in their minds.
3. Scale Explanation: Explicitly define the 1-5 Likert scale to ensure consistent data entry.

Phase II: Scoring and Data Calculation

Technical scoring of the MARSİ involves calculating the mean score for each subscale and the overall instrument. Use the following formulas:

- Global Strategy Score: Sum of items (1, 3, 4, 7, 10, 14, 17, 19, 22, 23, 25, 26, 29) divided by 13.
- Problem-Solving Score: Sum of items (8, 11, 13, 16, 18, 21, 27, 30) divided by 8.
- Support Strategy Score: Sum of items (2, 5, 6, 9, 12, 15, 20, 24, 28) divided by 9.
- Total Metacognitive Score: Sum of all 30 items divided by 30.

Phase III: Interpretation of Results

Scores are categorized into three levels of strategy usage:

- High (Mean $\geq$ 3.5): The student is a frequent and conscious user of reading strategies.
- Medium (Mean 2.5 - 3.4): The student uses strategies occasionally but lacks consistency or breadth.
- Low (Mean $\leq$ 2.4): The student is likely a passive reader who lacks awareness of how to navigate academic texts.

Case Study Analysis: Metacognition in EFL Contexts

A study conducted at the Hashemite University in Jordan (Mahasneh, 2016) serves as a critical case study for

understanding how metacognition operates in second-language acquisition. The study sampled 148 undergraduates and found a significant preference for **Problem-Solving strategies** over **Global** or **Support** strategies.

The technical implication of this finding is that EFL learners are often "stuck in the weeds" of the text. Because they are processing a second language, they focus heavily on translating individual words or re-reading difficult sentences (PROB) rather than understanding the overarching structure or purpose of the text (GLOB). This creates a cognitive bottleneck.

Troubleshooting Lack of Awareness

When assessment data reveals low scores in metacognitive awareness, educators must pivot to explicit instruction. The following table identifies common operational challenges and their corresponding pedagogical solutions.

Identified Weakness	Failure Mode	Technical Solution/Strategy
Low GLOB Score	Reading without a clear purpose; getting lost in details.	SQ3R Method: Survey, Question, Read, Recite, Review.
Low PROB Score	Giving up when a text becomes difficult; frustration.	Think-Aloud Protocols: Modeling the mental process of solving a text difficulty.
Low SUP Score	Poor retention of information; lack of active engagement.	Annotated Reading: Mandatory marginalia and summarization exercises.

Advanced Theoretical Considerations: Metacognition vs. Cognition

It is vital to distinguish between a **cognitive strategy** and a **metacognitive strategy**. A cognitive strategy is used to achieve a particular goal (e.g., translating a word to understand a sentence), while a metacognitive strategy is used to monitor whether that goal has been reached (e.g., asking oneself, "Does this translation make sense in the context of the paragraph?").

In technical instruction, this is the difference between **operational execution** and **quality assurance**. High-performing students function as their own quality assurance managers, constantly auditing their internal comprehension levels against the objective requirements of the task. For students with learning disabilities or those struggling with reading, this internal auditor is often offline. Assessment tools like MARSİ identify exactly where the audit process is failing.

The Future of Metacognitive Assessment: Digital Literacy

As academic reading shifts from print to digital interfaces, the MARSİ framework is being adapted for "online" reading environments. Digital reading introduces new challenges, such as navigating hyperlinks, managing distractions, and scrolling versus paging. Recent revisions of the MARSİ (such as the MARSİ-R) and the development of the Online Survey of Reading Strategies (OSORS) reflect the need to assess how students manage cognitive load in non-linear text environments.

Technical writing in the digital age requires even higher levels of **Global Reading Strategies**, as readers must constantly evaluate the credibility of sources and the relevance of interconnected nodes of information. The transition from "reading a book" to "navigating an information ecosystem" makes metacognitive awareness the single most important skill in the modern educational repertoire.

Synthesizing the Role of Metacognition in Academic Mastery

The assessment of students' metacognitive awareness of reading strategies is far more than an academic exercise; it is a diagnostic necessity. By utilizing the MARSİ framework, researchers and educators can move beyond simply measuring **what** a student understands to understanding **how** they understand it. This shift from product-oriented assessment to process-oriented assessment allows for targeted interventions that empower students to become independent, self-regulated learners.

The technical data provided by instruments like MARSİ reveals a clear roadmap for literacy development. By strengthening Global, Problem-Solving, and Support strategies, students can overcome linguistic barriers, manage

high-density technical information, and achieve a level of reading proficiency that is essential for success in higher education and professional practice. As we continue to refine these assessment tools, the focus remains on closing the gap between passive reading and active, metacognitive engagement with the written word.

Tags: #Metacognition #MARSI #Reading Strategies #Educational Assessment #EFL Instruction

