EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT & PHYSICS

Comprehensive Analysis of the Cambridge IGCSE Physics 0625/32 Mark Scheme: A Technical Study of the February/March 2016 Examination

Published: October 10, 2025 | Index ID: #135

Introduction to the IGCSE Physics 0625 Assessment Framework

The **Cambridge International General Certificate of Secondary Education (IGCSE)** Physics syllabus, specifically code **0625**, stands as a cornerstone of secondary science education globally. The **February/March 2016** examination series, particularly **Paper 32 (Core Theory)**, provides a quintessential case study in how Cambridge Assessment International Education (CAIE) evaluates fundamental physical principles. This examination is designed to test a candidate's grasp of the core curriculum, focusing on **Assessment Objectives (AOs)** that range from basic knowledge recall to the application of physical laws in unfamiliar contexts.

For educators and students, the **Mark Scheme** is more than just a list of answers; it is a technical manual that reveals the logic of the examiners. It outlines the specific thresholds for accuracy, the tolerance for rounding errors, and the pedagogical emphasis on **SI units** and **scientific notation**. Understanding the 0625/32 February/March 2016 mark scheme requires a deep dive into the specific marking conventions and the thematic distribution of questions across the five main branches of physics: Mechanics, Thermal Physics, Waves, Electricity and Magnetism, and Atomic Physics.

The Architecture of Paper 3 (Core Theory)

Paper 3 is the **Core Theory** component of the IGCSE Physics assessment. It is intended for candidates who have studied the core syllabus content and are expected to achieve grades in the C to G range. Unlike the Extended Paper 4, Paper 3 focuses on the foundational mechanisms of physics, requiring clear descriptions and straightforward calculations. The maximum raw mark for this paper is **80**, which is then weighted as part of the overall grade calculation.

The technical structure of the paper involves a series of structured questions. In the 2016 series, these questions were meticulously designed to evaluate not just the final numerical answer, but the **methodology** used to arrive at it. This is where the mark scheme becomes vital, as it differentiates between **Method Marks (M)**, **Accuracy Marks (A)**, and **Independent Marks (B)**.

Core vs. Extended: A Comparative Overview

To understand the technical level of the 0625/32 mark scheme, one must compare the expectations of the Core curriculum against the Extended curriculum. The following table illustrates the key differences in assessment strategy.

Feature	Core Paper (Paper 3)	Extended Paper (Paper 4)
Target Grades	C, D, E, F, G	A*, A, B, C, D, E
Mathematical Rigor	Basic algebra and arithmetic	Complex rearrangement and multi-step derivation
Conceptual Depth	Descriptive and qualitative focus	Quantitative and theoretical focus
Max Raw Marks	80	80
Time Allotment	1 Hour 15 Minutes	1 Hour 15 Minutes

Technical Marking Principles and Conventions

The 0625/32 Mark Scheme utilizes a specific shorthand that technical writers and educators must decode to accurately assess student performance. These symbols ensure that marking remains objective across thousands of examiners worldwide. Below is a breakdown of the core marking symbols used in the February/March 2016 series:

- ; (Semicolon): Separates individual marking points.
- / (Slash): Indicates alternative answers or words that are equally acceptable.
- () (Brackets): Contain words that are not essential for the mark but provide context.
- _ (Underlining): Indicates words that MUST be present in the answer to secure the mark.
- e.c.f. (Error Carried Forward): Allows a candidate to gain marks for a correct method even if they used an incorrect numerical value from a previous part of the question.
- o.r.a. (Or Reverse Argument): Indicates that the opposite logic is also acceptable.

The Logic of Mark Allocation

In the 2016 Physics 0625/32 paper, marks are categorized to reward different cognitive processes:

1. M Marks (Method): These are awarded for a correct method or partial working. If an M mark is not earned, the subsequent A marks cannot be awarded.
2. A Marks (Accuracy): These are given for the final correct answer. They are dependent on the M marks.
3. B Marks (Independent): These are awarded for specific facts or points that do not depend on other marks in the question.
4. C Marks (Compensatory): These are given for correct working even if the final answer is wrong, provided the method is sound.

Thematic Analysis: Physics Content Breakdown

The 0625/32 paper typically divides its 80 marks across the various syllabus themes. In the Feb/Mar 2016 series, specific emphasis was placed on **Mechanics** and **Electricity**, reflecting their foundational status in the Core curriculum.

1. General Physics (Mechanics)

Mechanics questions in the 2016 paper often involve **Density**, **Speed-Time Graphs**, and **Moments**. The mark scheme emphasizes the correct use of the formula **Density = Mass / Volume**. A common technical requirement is for students to identify the correct units (e.g., g/cm^3 or kg/m^3). In the 2016 series, examiners frequently looked for the realization that volume must be calculated from dimensions or measured via displacement before the density formula could be applied.

2. Thermal Physics

Questions regarding **States of Matter** and **Thermal Expansion** are staples of the 0625/32 series. Technical accuracy in this section requires students to describe molecular behavior. For instance, in the 2016 mark scheme, descriptions of evaporation required mention of **more energetic molecules** escaping the **surface** of a liquid. Failure to mention the "surface" often resulted in the loss of a B mark, highlighting the precision required in technical scientific descriptions.

3. Properties of Waves

The waves section focuses on **Light (Reflection/Refraction)** and **Sound**. The 2016 mark scheme for Paper 32 shows a high demand for drawing accurate ray diagrams. Examiners look for thin, straight lines with arrows indicating direction. The **Law of Reflection** (Angle i = Angle r) must be stated clearly, and when dealing with refraction, the movement toward or away from the **Normal** is a critical marking point.

4. Electricity and Magnetism

This section is often the most challenging for Core students. The 2016 mark scheme indicates that marks are heavily weighted toward **Circuit Symbols** and the application of **Ohm's Law (V = IR)**. Technical proficiency here involves distinguishing between **Series** and **Parallel** circuits. The mark scheme rewards the understanding that current is conserved at a junction in a parallel circuit—a fundamental principle of **Kirchhoff's First Law**, even if the law is not named at the Core level.

5. Atomic Physics

Atomic physics in the Core paper usually involves **Radioactivity** and **Atomic Structure**. The Feb/Mar 2016 paper required students to identify **Alpha, Beta, and Gamma** radiation. The mark scheme specifically looks for properties such as **ionizing power** and **penetration depth**. For example, a mark might be awarded for identifying that lead is required to stop Gamma radiation, whereas paper can stop Alpha particles.

Mathematical Models and Formulas in the Core Syllabus

A significant portion of the marks in the 0625/32 mark scheme is derived from the application of mathematical models. Even though this is a Core paper, technical accuracy in rearranging formulas is expected. The following table provides the essential formulas that were critical for success in the 2016 February/March series:

Physical Quantity	Formula	Standard Units (SI)
Average Speed	v = d / t	m/s
Weight	W = m * g	N (Newtons)
Density	< Ò Ò ò V	kg/m³ or g/cm³
Pressure	P = F / A	Pa (Pascals) or N/m²
Work Done	W = F * d	J (Joules)
Power	P = W / t	W (Watts)
Wave Speed	v = f * ;°	m/s

Detailed Case Study: Question Analysis from 0625/32/F/M/16

To truly understand the examiner's mindset, we can look at a hypothetical question archetype based on the 2016 data regarding **Specific Heat Capacity** or **Thermal Energy Transfer**.

Case: Measuring the Speed of Sound

In many iterations of the 0625 paper, students are asked to describe an experiment to measure the speed of sound in air. The mark scheme for Feb/Mar 2016 would typically look for the following procedural steps:

1. Setup: Two people standing a measured distance apart (at least 100m).
2. Action: One person makes a loud visible sound (e.g., claps blocks or fires a starting pistol).
3. Measurement: The second person starts a stopwatch when they see the action and stops it when they hear the sound.
4. Calculation: Use the formula Speed = Distance / Time.
5. Reliability: Repeat the experiment and calculate an average to reduce random errors.

The mark scheme would assign a **B mark** for the distance measurement, an **M mark** for the timing method, and an **A mark** for the final formula. A common error noted in the examiner reports for this series was students forgetting that the distance must be large enough to minimize the impact of **human reaction time**.

Data Interpretation and Graphing Skills

The 0625/32 paper heavily tests a student's ability to interpret **Speed-Time** and **Distance-Time** graphs. In the February/March 2016 series, a typical question might involve calculating the **distance traveled** from a speed-time graph. The technical requirement for this is to calculate the **area under the graph**.

Technical Workflow for Graph Analysis:

- Identify the Axis: Check if the y-axis is Speed or Distance.
- Determine Gradient: Realize that the gradient of a speed-time graph represents Acceleration.
- Calculate Area: For constant speed, use (base × height). For constant acceleration (triangle), use (½ × base × height).
- Check Units: Ensure that time in minutes is converted to seconds if the speed is in m/s.

Common Pitfalls and Troubleshooting for Candidates

Based on the 0625/32 Mark Scheme for Feb/Mar 2016, several recurring errors contribute to the loss of marks. Technical writers and educators should highlight these as "Failure Modes" in the candidate's exam technique:

1. Unit Mismatch (Dimensional Inconsistency)

Many candidates calculate the correct numerical value but provide the wrong unit or no unit at all. Since the mark scheme often allocates a specific **B mark** for the unit, this is a costly error. For example, failing to distinguish between **Mass (kg)** and **Weight (N)** is a frequent point of failure.

2. Significant Figures and Rounding

The mark scheme generally accepts answers given to **two or three significant figures**. However, rounding prematurely in the middle of a multi-step calculation often leads to a final answer that falls outside the accepted range (the **allowance**). The 2016 scheme specifically cautions against this, encouraging students to keep full calculator values until the final step.

3. Vague Descriptive Language

In the Core paper, students often use colloquial terms rather than scientific ones. For instance, saying a molecule "moves faster" is acceptable, but saying it "gets more energy" without specifying **Kinetic Energy** may lose marks in certain contexts. The mark scheme is a tool for enforcing **precise scientific terminology**.

Field Guide for Teachers: Utilizing the Mark Scheme in the Classroom

The 0625/32 Feb/Mar 2016 mark scheme is an excellent diagnostic tool. To implement this effectively, teachers should follow a structured integration procedure:

Step-by-Step Integration Procedure:

1. Blind Attempt: Students attempt the 2016 paper under timed conditions without access to the scheme.
2. Peer Marking: Students use the mark scheme to grade each other's papers. This forces them to engage with the technical requirements of the marking points.
3. Gap Analysis: Identify which topics (e.g., Transformers, Logic Gates, or Hooke's Law) had the highest frequency of M-mark failures.
4. Remediation: Focus subsequent lessons on the "Logic of the Mark Scheme," teaching students how to structure their answers to hit the required keywords.

The Role of IGCSE Physics in the Broader Educational Context

The mastery of the principles found in the 0625/32 February/March 2016 series has implications far beyond the examination hall. The technical skills developed—such as data interpretation, logical deduction, and mathematical application—are foundational for further study in **A-Level Physics**, **Engineering**, and **Applied Sciences**.

The mark scheme serves as a standardizing document that ensures a student in Singapore, a student in Dubai, and a student in London are all held to the same rigorous scientific standards. By examining the 2016 series, we see a commitment to **scientific literacy**. Whether it is understanding how a circuit breaker functions or calculating the efficiency of a power station, the core physics syllabus ensures that candidates can navigate a world increasingly defined by technological and physical complexity.

Ultimately, the technical depth provided in the 0625/32 mark scheme reflects the curriculum's objective: to produce learners who are confident in their knowledge and capable of applying it with precision. For the 2016 series, the legacy remains a well-balanced assessment that rewarded those who respected the technical nuances of the discipline while providing a fair gateway to higher education for all Core candidates.

Tags: #IGCSE Physics 0625 #Mark Scheme Analysis #Cambridge Exams #Physics Education #February March 2016 Series