

TECHNICAL SPORTS GOVERNANCE

Comprehensive Guide to the FEI Competency-Based Evaluation System (CES): Technical Standards and Operational Workflows

Published: March 22, 2026 | Tags: FEI CES | Competency Based Evaluation | Equestrian Officiating | FEI Campus | Online Assessment

The evolution of international sporting governance necessitates a robust, transparent, and technically rigorous methodology for assessing the proficiency of officials. In the equestrian realm, the **FEI Competency-based Evaluation System (CES)** represents a paradigm shift from traditional tenure-based officiating to a performance-driven framework. This system ensures that every official—whether a Judge, Steward, or Veterinarian—possesses the up-to-date knowledge and practical skills required to uphold the highest standards of horse welfare and fair play. This article provides a deep technical analysis of the CES, its administrative cycles, the **FEI Campus** digital infrastructure, and the systemic implications of competency-based assessments in global sports.

1. Theoretical Framework: Transitioning to Competency-Based Evaluation

Historically, the qualification of sports officials relied heavily on chronological experience and periodic attendance at seminars. However, the **Fédération Équestre Internationale (FEI)** identified a need for a more dynamic system. The **Competency-based Evaluation System (CES)** is anchored in the **KSA Model** (Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities). This model differentiates between simply 'knowing' the rules and the 'competence' to apply them under the high-pressure environment of international competition.

1.1 The Core Pillars of CES

- **Knowledge Maintenance:** Continuous engagement with the most recent Rule Books and Manuals.
- **Skill Verification:** Practical application of rules during the Online Assessment and in-field evaluations.
- **Behavioral Integrity:** Ensuring officials adhere to the FEI Code of Ethics and demonstrate consistent decision-making.

By implementing an annual cycle, the CES eliminates the 'knowledge decay' often found in multi-year refresher systems. The technical objective is to ensure that an official in 2023 is as

proficient as they were at the time of their initial certification, if not more so, due to continuous learning requirements.

2. The Technical Infrastructure: FEI Campus and Online Assessments

The **FEI Campus** serves as the centralized **Learning Management System (LMS)** for the global equestrian community. It is the host environment for the **CES Online Assessment**, a mandatory annual requirement for all FEI Officials.

2.1 The CES Online Assessment (OA) Workflow

The Online Assessment is not merely a quiz; it is a standardized testing instrument designed to evaluate critical thinking and rule interpretation. The technical workflow for the OA generally follows these stages:

1. **Eligibility Check:** The system identifies officials due for assessment based on their current status and refresher cycle group (e.g., Group A).
2. **Course Enrollment:** Officials are invited to the specific CES Maintenance Course or Online Assessment module on the FEI Campus.
3. **Execution:** The official completes the assessment within a specific window (typically opening in mid-December).
4. **Certification:** Upon successful completion (achieving the required pass mark), a Completion Certificate is generated, which must often be forwarded to National Federations for record-keeping.

2.2 Maintenance Courses: Virtual vs. In-Person

While the Online Assessment is annual, **Maintenance Courses (MC)** are periodic requirements that provide more in-depth training. These can be conducted via two modalities:

- **Virtual Maintenance Courses:** Facilitated by two Course Directors via video conferencing, these courses maintain the rigor of in-person training through breakout rooms, real-time case study analysis, and digital polling.
- **In-Person Courses:** Traditional seminars that allow for hands-on technical training, particularly vital for Stewards and Veterinarians who require physical inspection skills.

3. Comparative Analysis: Administrative and Technical Requirements

To understand the nuances of the CES, it is essential to compare the various components and

their impacts on an official's status. The following table provides a breakdown of the **CES Maintenance** versus **CES Assessment** protocols.

Feature	CES Online Assessment (OA)	CES Maintenance Course (MC)
Frequency	Annual (Every Year)	Every 3 years (Standard Cycle)
Platform	FEI Campus (Self-paced)	In-Person or Virtual (Synchronous)
Primary Goal	Knowledge Verification	Skills Development & Rule Updates
Failure Consequence	Function Closed (Immediate)	Downgrading or Loss of Status
Evaluator	Automated Algorithmic Scoring	Two (2) FEI Course Directors

As illustrated, the **CES Online Assessment** is the high-frequency gatekeeper of the system. Failure to complete this by the annual deadline (typically **December 15th**) results in the immediate closure of the official's function, preventing them from being entered into the **FEI Entry System** for upcoming events.

4. Mathematical Modeling of Competency and Refresher Cycles

The FEI employs a logic-based system to categorize officials into groups (Group A, B, etc.) based on their last successful evaluation. We can represent the 'Competency Score' (\$C\$) of an official as a function of their assessment performance (\$P\$), years since last maintenance (\$t\$), and the complexity of the discipline (\$D\$):

$$C = (P \times w_1) + \left(\frac{1}{1 + t}\right) \times w_2 + (D \times w_3)$$

Where \$w_n\$ represents the weighting factors assigned by the FEI Technical Committee. In this model, as \$t\$ (time) increases, the overall competency score decreases unless offset by a high \$P\$ (performance) in the annual **CES Online Assessment**. This mathematical approach justifies the requirement for annual testing to maintain a constant \$C\$ value above the threshold of **\$C_{min}\$**.

5. Operational Deadlines and the "Function Closed" Protocol

The administrative rigor of the CES is most visible during the transition between calendar years. As evidenced by the 2022 and 2023 mandates, if the assessment is not completed by the mid-December deadline, the system automatically triggers a status change.

5.1 Steps to Resolve a "Function Closed" Status

1. Notification: The official receives an automated alert from FEI Campus.
2. Grace Period: Some exceptions may apply for medical or force majeure reasons, but these require formal petitions.
3. Re-instatement: Re-opening a closed function usually requires the completion of the missed assessment and, in some cases, an interview with Course Directors.

6. Case Study: The 2021 CES Online Assessment - Veterinary

In 2021, the FEI implemented a specific track for **Veterinary Officials**. This specialized assessment focused not only on general FEI regulations but also on the **Veterinary Regulations (VRs)**, including biosecurity protocols and the **Equine Prohibited Substances List**. The 2021 cycle demonstrated the system's ability to pivot to a fully online maintenance model during global travel restrictions, proving that virtual maintenance courses could maintain the same pedagogical integrity as physical seminars.

7. Technological Intersections: AI and Digital Evolution

As discussed at major technology forums like **CES (Consumer Electronics Show)**, the integration of **Artificial Intelligence (AI)** into evaluation systems is becoming a reality. In the context of equestrian officiating, AI could potentially support CES by:

- Pattern Recognition: Analyzing judging scores in real-time to identify biases or deviations from the norm.
- Adaptive Learning: Tailoring the Online Assessment questions to an official's specific areas of weakness based on past performance.
- Digital Twin Simulations: Utilizing VR or advanced multimedia, similar to Cao Fei's Digital Art for BMW, to create immersive scenarios for official training.

The intersection of high-art digital experiences (like those seen in the **BMW Digital Art Mode**) and technical education suggests a future where the **FEI Campus** becomes a high-fidelity simulation environment, moving beyond static multiple-choice questions into interactive 3D rule application.

8. Step-by-Step Guide for FEI Officials to Succeed in CES

To navigate the annual CES cycle successfully, officials should adhere to the following technical checklist:

- Step 1: System Check. Ensure your FEI Campus credentials are active and that your National Federation has updated your email in the FEI Database.
- Step 2: Rule Review. Download the 'Clean' and 'Mark-up' versions of the latest Rule Books for your discipline (Jumping, Eventing, Dressage, etc.).
- Step 3: Preliminary Modules. Complete any non-graded preparatory courses available on the Campus to familiarize yourself with the interface.
- Step 4: The Assessment. Allocate at least 90 minutes of uninterrupted time. Use a stable internet connection to avoid session timeouts.
- Step 5: Documentation. Save the Completion Certificate as a PDF and upload it to your personal cloud storage and forward it to your NF.

9. Structural Synthesis and Future Outlook

The **FEI Competency-based Evaluation System** is a sophisticated blend of educational psychology, digital administration, and regulatory enforcement. By moving away from a passive 'participation' model toward an active 'competency' model, the FEI has set a benchmark for other international sports federations. The system ensures that the officials standing in the arena-whether at a local 1* event or the Olympic Games-are verified experts in their field.

As we move toward 2025 and beyond, we can expect the CES to integrate more data-driven metrics, potentially utilizing machine learning to analyze the correlation between an official's assessment scores and their actual performance in the field. This closed-loop feedback system will continue to refine the quality of officiating, ultimately benefiting the athletes and the horses that are at the heart of the sport. The annual requirement, while demanding, is the essential price of professional excellence in a modern, technologically integrated sporting landscape.