PEDIATRIC PHYSICAL THERAPY

# Comprehensive Clinical Guide to the Alberta Infant Motor Scale (AIMS): Technical Implementation and Diagnostic Application

Published: January 27, 2025 | Index ID: #644

The **Alberta Infant Motor Scale (AIMS)** represents a pivotal instrument in pediatric clinical practice, specifically designed to monitor and evaluate the gross motor development of infants from birth through independent walking (approximately 0 to 18 months of age). Developed by **Martha Piper and Johanna Darrah** in the early 1990s, the AIMS addresses a critical need for a non-invasive, observational assessment tool that emphasizes the quality of movement and the emergence of motor milestones within a dynamic systems framework. Unlike previous tools that relied heavily on neuromaturational theories, the AIMS acknowledges the complexity of motor development as an interplay between the central nervous system, biomechanical constraints, and environmental factors.

## The Theoretical Framework of AIMS

The AIMS is grounded in **Dynamic Systems Theory**. This perspective posits that motor development is not a linear, pre-programmed sequence of events dictated solely by the maturation of the brain. Instead, movement emerges from the interaction of multiple subsystems. The scale moves away from the traditional reflex-based assessment toward a functional approach. It focuses on three primary components of motor control:

- Weight-Bearing: The ability of the infant to support their body weight across different surfaces and segments.
- Posture: The alignment of body segments and the ability to maintain stability against gravity.
- Antigravity Movements: The active movement of limbs and trunk against the force of gravity, showcasing muscle strength and coordination.

By assessing these components across four positions—**Prone, Supine, Sitting, and Standing**—clinicians can capture a holistic snapshot of an infant's motor repertoire. This longitudinal perspective is essential for distinguishing between a transient developmental lag and a significant motor delay requiring therapeutic intervention.

## Technical Breakdown of the AIMS Subscales

The AIMS consists of 58 items divided into four distinct subscales. Each item is designed to capture a specific motor behavior that reflects the infant's progression toward higher levels of functional mobility.

### 1. The Prone Subscale (21 Items)

The prone position is often considered the most sensitive for detecting early motor delays. It requires the infant to manage head control while shifting weight through the upper extremities. Early items in this subscale focus on the ability to clear the airway and move the head side-to-side. As the infant matures, the focus shifts to:

- Forearm Support: Weight-bearing on elbows with chest elevation.
- Extended Arm Support: Shifting weight to the palms with elbows locked, a precursor to reaching.
- Swimming Movements: Active extension of the trunk and limbs.
- Pivoting and Crawling: The transition from static weight-bearing to dynamic locomotion.

### 2. The Supine Subscale (9 Items)

The supine subscale evaluates the infant's ability to flex against gravity and move toward the midline. Key developmental markers include:

- Physiological Flexion: Present at birth, transitioning to more relaxed postures.
- Midline Orientation: Bringing hands together and eventually to the mouth.
- Active Kicking: Reciprocal movement of the lower extremities.
- Rolling: Utilizing axial rotation to transition from supine to prone.

### 3. The Sitting Subscale (12 Items)

Sitting items assess the transition from dependent support to independent, functional stability. The scale monitors:

- Sitting with Support: The amount of external assistance required to maintain an upright trunk.
- Propped Sitting: Using upper extremities for balance (forward lean).
- Unsupported Sitting: The emergence of protective extension and the ability to reach for toys without falling.
- Transitioning: Moving from sitting to quadruped or prone.

### 4. The Standing Subscale (16 Items)

The standing subscale tracks the progression toward independent bipedal locomotion. This includes:

- Neonatal Stepping: Primitive reflex patterns that eventually integrate.
- Weight-Bearing on Legs: The ability to support body weight with varying degrees of support (pulled to stand).
- Cruising: Lateral movement along furniture.
- Independent Walking: High-guard gait transitioning to mature arm swing and heel-strike patterns.

## Scoring Methodology: The "Motor Window" Concept

The AIMS employs a unique **observational scoring system**that requires clinical expertise to implement correctly. The process begins by observing the infant's spontaneous movements in each of the four positions. Unlike many other tests, the infant is not handled or moved by the examiner unless necessary to facilitate a change in position.

### Calculating the Raw Score

To calculate the score for each subscale, the clinician identifies the **"Motor Window."** The window is defined as the range of items between the least mature behavior observed and the most mature behavior observed in a specific position. The scoring logic is as follows:

1. Identify the Window: Mark all items between the lowest and highest observed skills.
2. Credit Prior Items: All items in the subscale that precede the least mature observed item are automatically credited with 1 point.
3. Credit Observed Items: Every item within the window that the infant demonstrates is credited with 1 point.
4. Totaling: The sum of points from each subscale is aggregated to form the Total Raw Score.

### Percentile Ranking and Interpretation

The Total Raw Score is then plotted on a **normative growth curve** based on the infant's chronological or adjusted age (for preterm infants). This yields a **percentile rank**. Standard clinical practice identifies infants below the 10th percentile at 4 months or the 5th percentile at 8 months as being at high risk for motor delay. These cut-off points are critical for determining the necessity of Early Intervention (EI) services.

## Comparison of Common Infant Motor Assessments

To understand the unique value of the AIMS, it is helpful to compare it with other standard tools used in pediatric physical therapy.

| Assessment Tool | Age Range | Primary Focus | Methodology |
|---|---|---|---|
| AIMS | 0 - 18 Months | Gross Motor Quality & Milestones | Observational, minimal handling |
| TIMP (Test of Infant Motor Performance) | 34 weeks PCA - 4 months | Early postural control & stability | Handled, elicit responses |
| PDMS-2 (Peabody) | 0 - 6 Years | Gross & Fine Motor Development | Standardized tasks, equipment-heavy |
| Bayley-IV | 1 - 42 Months | Global Development (Cognitive, Motor, Language) | Comprehensive multidisciplinary tool |

## Psychometric Properties and Validity

The AIMS is widely recognized for its robust psychometric properties, making it a reliable choice for both research and clinical diagnosis. Studies have consistently demonstrated:

- Inter-rater Reliability: High correlation (often >0.90) between different clinicians assessing the same infant, provided they have basic training in the tool.
- Intra-rater Reliability: High consistency when the same clinician evaluates the same infant over short intervals.
- Concurrent Validity: Strong correlation with the Peabody Developmental Motor Scales (PDMS) and the Bayley Scales of Infant Development (BSID).
- Predictive Validity: The AIMS is particularly effective at predicting motor outcomes in preterm infants or those with neonatal complications when administered serially.

Research published in **SciELO**regarding Brazilian populations, for example, highlights that while the AIMS is a Canadian-normed tool, its sensitivity remains high across various cultural contexts, though minor adjustments in percentile interpretations may be necessary due to environmental influences on motor development (e.g., "Back to Sleep" campaigns or cultural practices regarding floor time).

## Clinical Implementation: Step-by-Step Field Guide

For a Senior Physical Therapist or Clinician, the execution of an AIMS assessment follows a precise procedural workflow to ensure accuracy and minimize infant distress.

### Phase 1: Preparation

Ensure the environment is warm, the surface is firm but comfortable (a treatment mat is ideal), and the infant is in a "quiet alert" state. The infant should ideally be naked or in a minimal diaper to allow for full observation of muscle activity and joint alignment. Note the infant's **adjusted age** if they were born before 37 weeks gestation.

### Phase 2: Observation (The 4 Positions)

The clinician should observe the infant in prone, supine, sitting, and standing. It is helpful to use toys to motivate movement (e.g., reaching or head turning). The clinician should not assist the movement but may place the infant in the starting position. For instance, in **Prone**, observe if the infant can lift the head to 45 degrees or 90 degrees and if the weight is shifted toward the pelvis.

### Phase 3: Identification of the Motor Window

On the score sheet, the clinician scans the items. If an infant is observed rolling prone to supine (item 12 in prone), but cannot yet reach for a toy in prone (item 13), the window is established. All items previously achieved (1 through 11) are credited automatically. This saves time and focuses the clinical eye on the emerging skills.

### Phase 4: Calculation and Analysis

Sum the scores across the four subscales. Use the AIMS normative graph to find the percentile. A score below the 5th percentile is typically indicative of a **gross motor delay**, whereas scores between the 5th and 25th percentiles may suggest a **suspected delay** requiring monitoring and home exercise programs.

## Case Study: Preterm Infant at 6 Months Adjusted Age

Consider an infant born at 28 weeks gestation, now 6 months adjusted age. During the AIMS assessment, the following is observed:

- Prone: The infant can achieve forearm support (Item 8) and occasionally reaches for a toy (Item 13), but cannot yet pivot.
- Supine: The infant brings hands to knees (Item 6) but does not yet bring feet to mouth (Item 8).
- Sitting: The infant requires support at the hips (Item 3) and cannot prop-sit independently (Item 5).
- Standing: The infant takes weight through the legs when held (Item 3) but collapses into flexion quickly (Item 2).

**Analysis:**By plotting these raw scores, the clinician finds the infant falls at the 8th percentile for their adjusted age. While not meeting the 5th percentile threshold for immediate diagnostic labeling of a disability, the infant is at high risk. The clinician would likely recommend physical therapy focusing on core stability and weight-shifting in prone to bridge the "motor window" gaps.

## Common Operational Challenges and Troubleshooting

Even for experienced practitioners, several factors can complicate an AIMS assessment. Recognizing these early is key to maintaining data integrity.

### 1. Behavioral State of the Infant

If an infant is crying or lethargic, the AIMS results will likely underestimate their true motor potential. **Solution:** Reschedule the assessment or allow for a period of regulation. Avoid scoring items that were missed solely due to lack of motivation or distress.

### 2. The "Ceiling Effect"

As infants approach 15-18 months, they often max out the scale (Total Score of 58). **Solution:**For children walking independently for more than 2-3 months, transition to more advanced tools like the PDMS-2 or the BOT-2 to assess balance and coordination.

### 3. Quality vs. Quantity

AIMS is excellent at identifying *if* a skill is present, but it may not fully capture the *pathological quality* of movement (e.g., spasticity or dystonia in Cerebral Palsy). **Solution:** Supplement AIMS with the **General Movements Assessment (GMA)** or clinical neurological exams to document hypertonia or asymmetries.

## Broader Implications for Early Intervention

The systematic use of the Alberta Infant Motor Scale has profound implications for public health and early

childhood development. By identifying motor delays early, healthcare systems can deploy resources more efficiently. Early intervention takes advantage of **neuroplasticity**, the brain's ability to reorganize itself in response to experience. When an infant with a motor delay is identified via AIMS at 4 months, the introduction of targeted physical therapy can often prevent secondary complications, such as muscle contractures or global developmental delays caused by the inability to explore the environment.

Furthermore, the AIMS provides a common language for a multidisciplinary team. A pediatrician, a physical therapist, and a researcher can all look at an AIMS percentile and immediately understand the severity of an infant's motor status. As pediatric healthcare moves toward more data-driven models, the standardized raw scores provided by AIMS serve as essential metrics for measuring the efficacy of various therapeutic interventions. Whether used in a high-tech neonatal follow-up clinic or a rural community health center, the AIMS remains one of the most cost-effective and clinically sound tools for safeguarding the motor development of the next generation.

## Baca Juga (Artikel Terkait)